

THE MERGERS &
ACQUISITIONS
REVIEW

THIRTEENTH EDITION

Editor
Mark Zerdin

THE LAWREVIEWS

THE
MERGERS &
ACQUISITIONS
REVIEW

THIRTEENTH EDITION

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd
This article was first published in September 2019
For further information please contact Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.co.uk

Editor
Mark Zerdin

THE LAWREVIEWS

PUBLISHER

Tom Barnes

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

Nick Barette

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

Joel Woods

SENIOR ACCOUNT MANAGERS

Pere Aspinall, Jack Bagnall

ACCOUNT MANAGERS

Olivia Budd, Katie Hodgetts, Reece Whelan

PRODUCT MARKETING EXECUTIVE

Rebecca Mogridge

RESEARCH LEAD

Kieran Hansen

EDITORIAL COORDINATOR

Tommy Lawson

HEAD OF PRODUCTION

Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR

Anne Borthwick

SUBEDITOR

Hilary Scott

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Nick Brailey

Published in the United Kingdom
by Law Business Research Ltd, London
Meridian House, 34-35 Farringdon Street, London, EC4A 4HL, UK
© 2019 Law Business Research Ltd
www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided was accurate as at August 2019, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above.

Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed
to the Publisher – tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-83862-050-9

Printed in Great Britain by
Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire
Tel: 0844 2480 112

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following for their assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

AABØ-EVENSEN & CO ADVOKATFIRMA

ÆLEX

AFRIDI & ANGELL

AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE

ARIAS, FÁBREGA & FÁBREGA

ASHURST LLP

BAE, KIM & LEE LLC

BAKER MCKENZIE

BGP LITIGATION

BHARUCHA & PARTNERS

BIRD & BIRD ATMD LLP

BREDIN PRAT

CLEARY GOTTlieb STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

CMS ROMANIA

CORONEL & PÉREZ

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

CREEL, GARCÍA-CUÉLLAR, AIZA Y ENRÍQUEZ, SC

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

DEHENG LAW OFFICES

DITTMAR & INDRENIUS

DRYLLERAKIS & ASSOCIATES

ELVINGER HOSS PRUSSEN

GREENBERG TRAURIG SANTA MARIA LAW FIRM
HENGELER MUELLER PARTNERSCHAFT VON RECHTSANWAELTEN MBB
HEUKING KÜHN LÜER WOJTEK
KENNEDY VAN DER LAAN
MAKES & PARTNERS LAW FIRM
MAPLES GROUP
MARTÍNEZ DE HOZ & RUEDA
MATOUK BASSIOUNY & HENNAWY
MATTOS FILHO, VEIGA FILHO, MARREY JR E QUIROGA ADVOGADOS
MCCARTHY TÉTRAULT LLP
NIEDERER KRAFT FREY LTD
NISHIMURA & ASAHI
OPPENHEIM LAW FIRM
RUSSIN, VECCHI & HEREDIA BONETTI
SCHINDLER RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH
SLAUGHTER AND MAY
TMI ASSOCIATES
TORRES, PLAZ & ARAUJO
URÍA MENÉNDEZ
WHITE & CASE LLP
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

CONTENTS

PREFACE.....	vii
<i>Mark Zerdin</i>	
Chapter 1 EU OVERVIEW.....	1
<i>Mark Zerdin</i>	
Chapter 2 EUROPEAN PRIVATE EQUITY.....	10
<i>Benedikt von Schorlemer and Jan van Kistfeld</i>	
Chapter 3 M&A LITIGATION.....	18
<i>Roger A Cooper, Meredith Kotler, Mark McDonald and Vanessa C Richardson</i>	
Chapter 4 REGULATION OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTION M&A IN THE UNITED STATES.....	27
<i>Gregory Lyons, David Portilla and Nicholas Potter</i>	
Chapter 5 UNITED STATES ANTITRUST OVERVIEW.....	34
<i>Richie Falek, Neely Agin and Conor Reidy</i>	
Chapter 6 ARGENTINA.....	40
<i>Fernando S Zoppi</i>	
Chapter 7 AUSTRIA.....	48
<i>Clemens Philipp Schindler and Christian Thaler</i>	
Chapter 8 BRAZIL.....	59
<i>Adriano Castello Branco, Claudio Oksenberg and João Marcelino Cavalcanti Júnior</i>	
Chapter 9 CANADA.....	70
<i>Cameron Belsher, Robert Hansen, Robert Richardson and Mark McEwan</i>	
Chapter 10 CAYMAN ISLANDS.....	83
<i>Suzanne Correy and Daniel Lee</i>	

Contents

Chapter 11	CHINA.....	93
	<i>Wei (David) Chen and Kai Xue</i>	
Chapter 12	COLOMBIA.....	104
	<i>Alexandra Montealegre and Stefania Olmos</i>	
Chapter 13	COSTA RICA.....	117
	<i>John Aguilar Quesada and Marco Solano</i>	
Chapter 14	DOMINICAN REPUBLIC.....	124
	<i>Georges Santoni Recio and Laura Fernández-Peix Pérez</i>	
Chapter 15	ECUADOR.....	134
	<i>Boanerges H Rodriguez Velásquez</i>	
Chapter 16	EGYPT.....	142
	<i>Omar S Bassiouny and Maha El-Meihy</i>	
Chapter 17	FINLAND.....	152
	<i>Jan Ollila, Wilhelm Eklund and Jasper Kuhlefeldt</i>	
Chapter 18	FRANCE.....	164
	<i>Didier Martin</i>	
Chapter 19	GERMANY.....	185
	<i>Heinrich Knepper</i>	
Chapter 20	GREECE.....	202
	<i>Cleomenis G Yannikas, Vassilis S Constantinidis and John M Papadakis</i>	
Chapter 21	HONG KONG.....	213
	<i>Jason Webber</i>	
Chapter 22	HUNGARY.....	224
	<i>József Bulcsú Fenyvesi and Mihály Barcza</i>	
Chapter 23	ICELAND.....	234
	<i>Hans Henning Hoff</i>	
Chapter 24	INDIA.....	241
	<i>Justin Bharucha</i>	

Contents

Chapter 25	INDONESIA.....	256
	<i>Yozua Makes</i>	
Chapter 26	ITALY.....	267
	<i>Mario Santa Maria and Carlo Scaglioni</i>	
Chapter 27	JAPAN.....	278
	<i>Masakazu Iwakura, Gyo Toda and Makiko Yamamoto</i>	
Chapter 28	KOREA.....	287
	<i>Ho Kyung Chang, Alan Peum Joo Lee and Robert Dooley</i>	
Chapter 29	LUXEMBOURG.....	298
	<i>Philippe Hoss and Thierry Kauffman</i>	
Chapter 30	MEXICO.....	313
	<i>Eduardo González and Jorge Montaña</i>	
Chapter 31	NETHERLANDS.....	321
	<i>Meltem Koning-Gungormez and Hanne van 't Klooster</i>	
Chapter 32	NIGERIA.....	331
	<i>Lawrence Fubara Anga and Maranatha Abraham</i>	
Chapter 33	NORWAY.....	336
	<i>Ole K Aabo-Evensen</i>	
Chapter 34	PANAMA.....	368
	<i>Andrés N Rubinoff</i>	
Chapter 35	PORTUGAL.....	376
	<i>Francisco Brito e Abreu and Joana Torres Ereio</i>	
Chapter 36	QATAR.....	388
	<i>Michiel Visser, Charbel Abou Charaf and Mohammed Basama</i>	
Chapter 37	ROMANIA.....	400
	<i>Horea Popescu and Claudia Nagy</i>	
Chapter 38	RUSSIA.....	411
	<i>Alexander Vaneev, Denis Durashkin and Anton Patkin</i>	

Contents

Chapter 39	SINGAPORE.....	422
	<i>Sandra Seab, Marcus Chow and Seow Hui Goh</i>	
Chapter 40	SPAIN.....	432
	<i>Christian Hoedl and Miguel Bolívar Tejedo</i>	
Chapter 41	SWITZERLAND	446
	<i>Manuel Werder, Till Spillmann, Thomas Brönnimann, Philippe Weber, Ulysses von Salis, Nicolas Birkhäuser and Elga Reana Tozzi</i>	
Chapter 42	UKRAINE.....	455
	<i>Viacheslav Yakymchuk and Olha Demianiuk</i>	
Chapter 43	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	469
	<i>Danielle Lobo and Abdus Samad</i>	
Chapter 44	UNITED KINGDOM	479
	<i>Mark Zerdin</i>	
Chapter 45	UNITED STATES	498
	<i>Richard Hall and Mark I Greene</i>	
Chapter 46	VENEZUELA.....	530
	<i>Guillermo de la Rosa Stolk, Juan Domingo Alfonzo Paradisi, Valmy Diaz Ibarra and Domingo Piscitelli Nevola</i>	
Chapter 47	VIETNAM.....	543
	<i>Hikaru Oguchi, Taro Hirosawa and Ha Hoang Loc</i>	
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS.....	557
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTORS' CONTACT DETAILS.....	587

PREFACE

2018 was the year of the mega-deal, with an unprecedented number of big-ticket mergers taking place across a range of jurisdictions and sectors. In the first six months of 2018, global deal value rose by 59 per cent compared to 2017, despite volumes falling by 12 per cent. Although there was a considerable drop off in activity in the second half of the year, 2018 nonetheless saw robust overall performance by market participants, with global activity in 2018 exceeding US\$3 trillion for the fifth consecutive year.

The United States remained the most targeted and acquisitive region globally in 2018; however, the deal-making landscape in the US for the remainder of 2019 presents a mixed picture. On the one hand, tax reform, a more relaxed US regulatory climate and growing cash reserves present a favourable environment for investors. On the other, dealmakers are likely to be concerned by the trade dispute between the US and China – which is already threatening economic growth and, at the time of writing, shows no sign of abating – and the ongoing uncertainty regarding antitrust policies, which may lead to increased scrutiny of M&A deals.

In Europe, after a record-breaking start to the year, the prolonged uncertainty caused by stuttering Brexit negotiations and wider political tensions across the continent finally caught up with dealmakers in the second half of 2018. In line with a softening of the global economy, the value of European deals in H2 plummeted to its lowest level since 2013, and the volume of transatlantic deals between North America and Europe also fell by 29 per cent year-on-year.

One of the main disruptors to M&A activity over the past 12 months has been the rise in political intervention in cross-border deals. In particular, concerns over national security have led to the tightening of foreign investment regimes and antitrust regulations, coupled with more active enforcement by regulators. This growth in protectionism is likely to remain one of the main obstacles facing dealmakers in the near future.

Nevertheless, looking forwards into the remainder of 2019, there is certainly cause for optimism: private equity continues to enjoy record-breaking levels of dry powder, and developments in technology are driving both the sector itself and the facilitation of deals more broadly. Finally, and perhaps most importantly, the past 12 months have highlighted the resilience of companies and private equity firms in their navigation of global political uncertainty and economic shifts.

I would like to thank the contributors for their support in producing the 13th edition of *The Mergers & Acquisitions Review*. I hope the commentary in the following 47 chapters will provide a richer understanding of the shape of the global markets, and the challenges and opportunities facing market participants.

Mark Zerdin

Slaughter and May

London

July 2019

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

Georges Santoni Recio and Laura Fernández-Peix Pérez¹

I OVERVIEW OF M&A ACTIVITY

During the past few years, we have seen important M&A activity taking place. The Dominican economy continues to outperform most economies in the Latin American and Caribbean region (averaging 7 per cent GDP growth over the past three years), and major foreign investment continue to develop and grow in the Dominican Republic, together with strong levels of M&A activity.

II GENERAL INTRODUCTION TO THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR M&A

M&A deals occur through a merger by incorporation of a new entity or absorption of one or more of the companies merged, a share transfer or an asset purchase.

Law No. 479-08 of General Companies and Limited Liability Individual Enterprises was enacted in December 2008 and later modified by Law No. 31-11 (Companies Law). This statute repealed the provisions effective until the current date of the Commercial Code regarding companies (Articles 18 to 64 inclusive), introduced important legal modifications concerning the incorporation, life and dissolution of companies, and, in addition to the existing corporate vehicles, introduced three new corporate vehicles: the limited liability company (LLC), limited liability individual enterprise and simplified company.

Moreover, Chapter IV of the Companies Law provides a definition for the term merger and establishes a process for its fulfilment from a corporate standpoint. It defines a merger as a transfer made by one or more companies of their assets and liabilities, either to an existing company or to a new one, whereby the shareholders of the company that makes the transfer receives shares in the company or companies that receive the assets and liabilities, and eventually, a liquid amount that cannot exceed one-tenth of the nominal value of the shares.²

The merger must be approved by a shareholders' extraordinary meeting of all the companies involved, and will entail the following consequences: the dissolution without liquidation of the companies that disappear, and the transfer of all their assets and liabilities in the state in which they are present on the date of final completion of the transaction to the beneficiary companies. Simultaneously, the shareholders of the disappearing companies become shareholders in the recipient companies. When a new company is incorporated as a

1 Georges Santoni Recio is a partner and Laura Fernández-Peix Pérez is a senior associate at Russin, Vecchi & Heredia Bonetti.

2 Article 382 of the Companies Law.

result of a merger, its by-laws must be approved by an extraordinary general meeting of all the companies that will cease to exist, and the new company must confirm and acknowledge those approvals. The companies involved must execute a merger agreement.

Before the approval of the merger, the companies involved in the transaction must appoint one or more commissioners, who must render a written report with the particulars of the merger, and who shall verify that the value attributed to the shares of the participating companies are adequate and that the rate of change is equitable. Additionally, the report must include the estimated value of in-kind contributions and particular advantages, if there are any. The report will be made available to the shareholders prior to the meeting, and must be taken into consideration during the meeting before the approval of the merger.

Commissioners must have a bachelor's degree in accounting, business administration, finance or economics, and at least three years' experience in their profession. There are certain conditions that prohibit individuals from being appointed as commissioners:

- a* conviction for criminal offences or bankruptcy (fraudulent or not) by an irrevocable judgment;
- b* disbarment, by virtue of a judicial or administrative decision, from the practice of commercial activities;
- c* the individuals are public officers with duties related to the activities of the company;
- d* the individuals are the founders, in-kind contributors, beneficiaries of particular advantages, directors of the company or its subsidiaries and their relatives up to the fourth degree;
- e* the individuals are directors (and their spouses) of other companies that own one-tenth of the paid capital of the company in question; and
- f* the individuals are any person (or his or her spouse) who directly or indirectly receives a salary or compensation from the company for undertaking permanent activities different from those assigned to the commissioner.

Commissioners may require the delivery of all useful documents related to the merger from all the companies involved, and will provide the necessary confirmation of their content. Likewise, depending on the types of companies involved, the boards of directors of the companies must render a written report on the merger project.

Within 30 days of the execution of the merger agreement, the companies involved in the process must file it along with the meeting minutes that approved the agreement before the corresponding chamber of commerce. Additionally, an extract with the main terms of the merger agreement must be published in a newspaper with national circulation.

In contrast, a shareholders' meeting is not always required when an acquisition is made through an assets purchase; this will depend on the types of assets being purchased and the by-law requirements. If a company is selling all its assets, a shareholders' meeting must approve the sale. Nonetheless, the law and principles that govern the agreement itself will be those of the Civil Code. Notwithstanding this, the parties are free to choose the jurisdiction that will govern the agreement.

Regarding acquisitions made by share transfer, depending on the type of entity that is selling the shares and the provisions of the by-laws, existing shareholders may have the right of first refusal or right of first offer and, in some cases, tag-along rights. The Companies Law governs shared transfers.

The Restructuring Law³ was enacted on 12 August 2015 and came into effect in February 2017. Its Ruling for application was also enacted in February 2017. Under the Restructuring Law, if any company or merchant is in cessation of payments over a certain period,⁴ or if at least one of the other scenarios provided in Article 29 of the Law occurs, the affected party or the debtor can request the restructuring of the company. If the formalities for requesting a restructuring process have been met, a verifier will be appointed who will confirm whether there are grounds for the debtor to undergo a restructuring process. If so, a conciliator will be appointed, and the process should end in a restructuring plan that is approved between the majority (60 per cent or more) of the acknowledged and registered creditors and the debtor. If it is not feasible for the debtor to undergo a restructuring process, the liquidation of the company could be ordered by a court. If this occurs, a liquidator will be appointed and will perform all actions related to the sale of the company as a whole (running business) or the company's assets. Once a restructuring request has been filed at court by the debtor, or the debtor has been notified by the creditor or creditors of a filing at court of a restructuring request, the debtor must inform the court and the verifier, among other actions, of any act that represents a direct or indirect merger of the debtor.

III DEVELOPMENTS IN CORPORATE AND TAKEOVER LAW AND THEIR IMPACT

Regarding takeover provisions, the Companies Law provides that in every company, except LLCs, any shareholder (individual or legal entity) that achieves a participation of more than 10 per cent of the voting shares must notify the company, via a bailiff's act, within a 15-day period counted from the acquisition of shares that amounted to 10 per cent or higher, indicating the number of shares owned and the votes it has in a shareholders' meeting. LLCs are excluded from this requirement, presumably because the Law specifically provides for their shareholders and the company itself rights of first refusal, whereas in other companies those provisions are only in place if they are included in the company's by-laws. Thus, because of its *intuitu personae* nature, an LLC always knows the intention of its shareholders to transfer shares in advance, and the number of shares being transferred, and can therefore take action on the transaction should it wish to do so.

The Law also provides that a corporation cannot have investments in another company if the latter holds 10 per cent or more of the capital of the former. Likewise, there is a similar provision for any other type of company that has a corporation among its shareholders.

Even though the Securities Law⁵ regulates the stock market, and the Companies Law provides certain provisions applicable to corporations whose shares are publicly traded, to date there is not a single company that is publicly traded; thus, the probability of a hostile takeover occurring on a Dominican company is very remote.

3 Law No. 141-15 on the restructuring and liquidation of companies and merchants.

4 This will vary depending on the obligation that was unpaid; for example, two months of employees' salaries, or payments overdue to a creditor or creditors for 90 days.

5 Securities Law, Law No. 249-17.

IV FOREIGN INVOLVEMENT IN M&A TRANSACTIONS

The vast majority of M&A transactions that have occurred in the Dominican Republic have been from foreign investments and from different countries. As a result of the financial crisis, the number of business synergies, mergers and consolidations keeps increasing as a way to cope with the difficult economic conditions.

Banking institutions, both local and foreign, have a prominent influence on M&A transactions.

V SIGNIFICANT TRANSACTIONS, KEY TRENDS AND HOT INDUSTRIES

The number of M&A has risen during recent years. While there might not be a significant difference in the volume of the transactions taking place, the settlement of transactions, and the high-profile entities that have merged or that have been acquired, have increased exponentially.

The most relevant M&A during the past few years are as follows:

a in 2016:

- a French group acquired the majority (70 per cent) of a fund that owns approximately 130 petrol stations throughout the Dominican Republic from a US parent company;
- a Japanese company acquired 50 per cent of the shares of a local cigar company. The deal is said to have amounted to around US\$14 million; and
- an American hotel group acquired a hotel group that had several hotels in the Dominican Republic. The deal was concluded in September 2016;

b in 2017:

- a Spanish hotel group acquired three hotels in the Dominican Republic for approximately US\$100 million. The deal was concluded in December 2017;
- a Japanese company, the leader in the sanitary industry, acquired a local factory in the same market. The deal was announced in November 2017;
- a Lithuanian airline that owned 65 per cent of the shares of a Dominican airline sold its shares in June 2017; and
- other M&A deals mainly happened abroad but affected the Dominican Republic: for example, a Dutch–British multinational signed an agreement to acquire the personal care and house cleaning line brands from a Colombian multinational, both of which have a presence in the Dominican Republic;

c in 2018:

- the largest Brazilian company in the brewery industry increased its ownership (to 85 per cent) in the main local brewery by acquiring an additional 30 per cent of the shares. The deal is said to have amounted to around US\$926.5 million. The deal was announced at the end of 2017 and finalised at the beginning of 2018; and

d to date in 2019:

- a Canadian independent supplier and marketer of fuel and petroleum products acquired 75 per cent of the shares of the company that indirectly owned one of the top petrol stations in the Dominican Republic; and
- a Canadian bank acquired 97.44 per cent of an important local bank. The deal is said to have amounted to around US\$330 million. The deal was announced on August 2018, and finalised at the beginning of 2019.

VI FINANCING OF M&A: MAIN SOURCES AND DEVELOPMENTS

Typically, M&A are financed through loans that are guaranteed by assets of the buying company or one or more of its subsidiaries, debt finance or private equity funds.

When financed through loans, several banks are usually involved in the lending. In the case of Dominican banks, solvency ratio restrictions are determined by Monetary and Financial Law No. 183-02, which places certain boundaries on the lending bank with regard to the amount that can be lent to a single entity or economic group. Moreover, while Dominican banks are participants in the financing of different mergers taking place in the Dominican Republic, the main lenders are almost always foreign banks, particularly US banks.

As indicated above, when a bank grants a loan, usually certain assets of either the parent company or one or more of its subsidiaries are given in guarantee. If the assets placed in guarantee are located in the Dominican Republic, depending on the type of assets, there are filings and recording processes to be fulfilled. Typically, tangible assets (such as machinery, equipment, vehicles) are given in chattels without loss of possession, as governed by the Law of Agriculture Foment.⁶ There are certain formalities and restrictions surrounding chattels without loss of possession; for example, a borrower cannot grant a chattel over assets that have already been pledged unless the previous lender renounces its rights. In addition, the contract must be executed before a justice of the peace or a notary public, and it must be recorded by the justice of the peace of the domicile of the borrower in order to make it opposable to third parties and in this way safeguard the privilege that the creditor is entitled to with the subscription of this type of contract. Furthermore, if the assets are vehicles, in addition to the chattel without loss of possession recordation, a transfer opposition is recorded before the Tax Office to avoid the transfer of the registration of the vehicles.

When the guarantee is real property, it must be recorded before the corresponding title registry of the real property, and a 2 per cent lien over the value of the property must be paid to the Tax Office.

Furthermore, local financing institutions typically require that the borrowing company executes a promissory note, which is a form of security that is invested by the prerogatives provided for by Article 545 of the Civil Procedural Code, and it will be considered a title of enforcement, without the need for a court ruling, in the event of the debtor's default. The promissory note has very specific and mandatory rules as to the form of the document, and must be drawn up by a local notary public. Among the requirements of the promissory note are that it must be in Spanish, and be validated and executed by the borrower in the presence of a notary public. Stamp tax and recording fees apply.

Regarding debt finance, since acquirers are mainly foreign, bonds and other forms of securities are rarely placed in the Dominican Republic. However, if a public offer is made in the Dominican Republic, then Dominican law, as per the terms of the Securities Law, will govern it.

Private equity as a financing method, from both local and foreign sources, is growing steadily.

Finally, considering how volatile the local currency is, foreign currency, mainly the US dollar, is used for any financing options.

⁶ Law of Agriculture Foment, Law No. 6188.

VII EMPLOYMENT LAW

Employment is governed by the Labour Code, which was enacted on 29 May 1992.

The relevant matters to consider in a merger transaction with regard to employees are labour contingency (severance), acquired rights and joint liability.

Dominican laws are very protective of employees' rights, and the lawfully provided severance payment derived from the unilateral decision of an employer to terminate a labour contract can be quite high. Because of this, in some mergers, the labour contingency at the time of the merger is deducted from the price.

In accordance with Articles 76, 79, 80, 184, 203, 221 and 223 of the Labour Code, the severance payment and other benefits to be paid to an employee upon liquidation (when the employer unilaterally terminates a contract without justified cause) comprise:

- a* advance notice: this is the notice of termination of the contract. The number of days in advance on which an employee must be notified of the termination of his or her contract will vary depending on the length of time that the employee has worked for the company. If this period is one year or more of continuous work, the advance notice will be of 28 days. If the company fails to give this advance notice, then it must pay the employee 28 days' salary;
- b* severance: the payment of an amount equal to 21 days' salary for each year of service given;
- c* any outstanding wages;
- d* holidays, if the employees have not taken their holidays during the past year;
- e* a proportion of the Christmas salary, depending on the date of the termination; and
- f* a portion of the company's profits in the past year, if applicable.

The severance amount to be paid, and any other benefits related to it, are made proportionally to the amount of time the employer has worked in the company, which means that until the labour contract is terminated and the employees are paid, the amount to be paid in connection with severance and employees' acquired rights will increase over time.

On the other hand, the acquired rights of employees are those benefits given to them in addition to those lawfully provided for: for example, life insurance policies, petrol payments and funeral expenses. Any modification or elimination of the acquired rights of employees constitutes a breach of the terms of the labour contract, which entitles the employee to dismissal with just cause and triggers the severance compensation indicated above.

Finally, the third scenario (joint liability) refers to the shared responsibility that is created when a company, a branch or an agency thereof is transferred or assigned, or employees are transferred to other companies,⁷ including those rights and obligations of the employees that have been the subject of a lawsuit and are pending verdict, and in no case will void the acquired rights of the employees, whereby the new employer is jointly liable with the substituted employer for all the obligations resulting from the labour contracts or the law before the date of substitution.

In that vein, in an acquisition by share transfer, all the employees' acquired rights must be preserved, because the company continues its operation without there being any change in

⁷ This occurs, for example, when the employees of the company that ceases to exist work for the surviving or newly created entity.

the terms of employment. Likewise, in a merger by absorption, the surviving entity assumes all the labour liabilities of the company that ceases to exist; if a new company is created, it assumes the liabilities of the companies that cease to exist.

In an asset purchase acquisition where there is no transfer of employees, in principle there are no labour liabilities to consider. However, if an employee transfer takes place, or a company sells all its assets to another company and the first one terminates the labour employment with the employees who are then hired by the company that purchased the assets within a period of less than two months, it can be presumed that the seniority of the employee continues in the labour contract with the buying company, and as such the employee will have the legal remedies to oblige the companies to comply with Dominican law. Nonetheless, the severance payment paid by the company that sold the assets may be deducted from future severance payments made by the acquiring company to the employees.

VIII TAX LAW

The fiscal impact of a merger is covered mainly by the Tax Code, the Ruling for the application of Title II of Income Tax enacted by Decree No. 139-98 and Decree No. 408-10 of Business Reorganisation.

Prior to going through the merger process, and as per the terms of Article 94 of Decree No. 408-10, it is mandatory to inform the Tax Office of the intention to merge and request its approval to proceed with the merger.

Mergers, as stated in the above-cited three legal texts, are considered to be a form of reorganisation of companies, and as such the results that could arise as a consequence of the reorganisation are not taxed, and the rights and fiscal obligations that correspond to the entities that are reorganised will be transferred to the continuing entities. Notwithstanding, Article 287, Paragraph III of the Tax Code states that the losses that come from other entities as a result of a reorganisation process are not tax-deductible.

It is also important to note that the surviving entity in a merger, or the new entity created as a result of the merger, is liable for the obligations and taxes owed by its predecessor and for the penalties for the infringements of the companies that have ceased to exist, and it cannot oblige another entity to assume them.

On the other hand, acquisitions either by share transfer or by asset purchase are taxable transactions, with consequences for both parties (buyer and seller).

If a company decides to purchase the assets of an entity, to avoid acquiring the liabilities⁸ it would have to pay the corresponding taxes for all the assets, and the tax rate to be applied to the transfer would depend on the type of asset that is being transferred. The tax on the transfer of real property amounts to 3 per cent of the sale price of each property or of the value of the property registered in the records of the Tax Office, whichever is higher. The same principle applies to the transfer of vehicles, but the tax rate here is 2 per cent. In both scenarios, the tax described lies on the buyer.

8 Even if the buyer does not acquire the shares of the business, in some scenarios the Dominican Tax Code holds him or her jointly liable (before the Tax Administration) for certain tax payments of the seller, such as those that affect the assets acquired, proportionally to the tax debt of the seller, and VAT taxes that are paid by the seller but collected by the buyer to be paid to the Tax Administration. In addition, if the buyer acquires what the Tax Administration defines as a permanent establishment, the Tax Administration could hold the buyer jointly liable for all the tax obligations of the contributor (seller).

For the seller, the corresponding taxes that arise out of a transaction are paid on the yearly income tax, and will be the difference between the acquisition price (adjusted by inflation) and the sale price if it is a real property. For other types of tangible assets, the seller will pay income tax on the difference between the book value of the asset and the sale price. In both cases (sales of real property and sales of tangible assets), the tax rate is 27 per cent.

The purchase price (except when transferring real property or shares) should also include 18 per cent VAT for the sale of assets (other than real estate) that must be reported, collected and paid by the seller.

With regard to the transfer of shares, it is the seller who bears the tax burden. In accordance with Article 289 of the Tax Code, capital gains tax, which applies currently at a rate of 27 per cent, applies to sales, swaps, and other allocation acts of capital assets such as share transfers, in which the applicable tax is calculated by deducting from the price or the value of the transfer of the shares the cost of its acquisition adjusted by inflation (as per the multiplying factor published yearly by the Tax Office).

Moreover, Norm 07-2014, issued by the Tax Office, allows the Tax Office to estimate a minimum transfer value (sale price), regardless of the transfer value that the parties agree to in the agreement (sale price), and it takes into consideration the equity of the company whose shares are being transferred by dividing the result of the values for the paid-in capital, admitted reserves, accumulated benefits or losses at the time of the sale, revalorisation of the company equity and the surplus from the number of shares transferred. With this reasoning, the Tax Office determines whether there has been a capital gain or loss.

Norm 07-2011 of the Tax Office also requires that any company that acquires shares withholds 1 per cent of the price paid to the seller for the purchase of the shares regardless of whether the seller is an individual, a legal entity, a resident or a foreign national. Said payment is credited to the tax on capital earnings that has to be paid by the seller, generated on the occasion of the sale, if applicable.

IX COMPETITION LAW

On 16 January 2008, the Dominican Republic enacted Law on the Defence of Competition.⁹ This Law prohibits the abuse of a dominant position and disloyal acts, such as agreements between competitors' market players, and promotes free competition. However, it does not regulate the concentration of capital between the different players in a market.

Notwithstanding the above, several regulated markets require the authorisation of certain government dependencies, such as:

- a* Telecommunications: Law No. 153-98 and the Rules of Free and Loyal Competition of the Telecommunications Market require that any transfer, assignment, lease or grant of the right to use any title or lien granted on concessions or licences must be carried out with the previous authorisation of the Dominican Institute of Telecommunications (Indotel). In that vein, the sale or assignment of shares resulting in the loss by the seller or transferor of social control will require the authorisation of Indotel. Furthermore, mergers and market concentrations in telecommunications are expressly subject to the previous approval of Indotel, which can challenge a transaction or request and instruct correction measures in order for the transaction to be within the boundaries of the Law and the Rules.

⁹ Law on the Defence of Competition, Law No. 42-08.

- b* Banking: the Monetary and Financial Law¹⁰ requires that the authorisation of the Monetary Board is acquired in advance, as per Articles 9 and 35 of the Law, in cases of mergers, share transfers of 30 per cent or more of the paid-in capital, absorption, and substantial asset and liabilities transfers of any financial intermediation entity. The authorisation of the Monetary Board is also required in advance for currency exchange institutions.
- c* Securities: as per the terms of Articles 386 and 157 of the Companies Law, a corporation that had ventures in the securities market must submit the merger agreement to the Securities Superintendence, which will accept or reject the project within 15 days. The merger agreement is submitted for the approval of the bondholders' meeting, unless the companies involved allow that the bondholders can be offered a refund as the sole requirement. Moreover, the Securities Law sets out several provisions to avoid concentration.
- d* Insurance: Articles 174 to 184 of the Dominican Insurance and Bonds Law¹¹ allow insurance and reinsurance companies to merge between each other with the previous authorisation of the Dominican Insurance Superintendence. The Superintendence can also recommend that an insurance company merges if the financial statements or the verifications made by the Superintendence reflect that the insurance company is not in a position to guarantee the fulfilment of its obligations before the insurers.
- e* Electricity: Paragraph II of Article 12 of the Ruling for the Application of the Electricity General Law,¹² enacted by Decree No.555-02, states that the Electricity Superintendence, before authorising the transfer of generation concessions, mergers or sales of shares where generation companies are involved, must investigate whether the petitioners, either by themselves or through related parties, are owners of generation centres with a total capacity that represents, in its opinion, a significant percentage of the maximum demand of the national interconnected electric system that, in accordance with the criteria established by the National Commission of Energy, constitutes a threat to free competition in the electric wholesale market. Article 82 of the Law establishes a similar prohibition on the transfer of concessions of generation and distribution.
- f* Pension funds: Article 93 of the Law of Social Security¹³ and Article 50 of Decree No. 969-02, which establishes the Pension Ruling, require that mergers are approved by the Pension Superintendence before completing matters of common law, and in that sense, a meeting approving a merger project together with the merger plan must be submitted. The Superintendence can require amendments to the merger project or reject it.
- g* Health risk administrators: similarly to pension funds as described above, Article 153 of the Law of Social Security states that health risk administrators and the National Health Insurance Scheme must obtain the express authorisation of the Health and Labour Risks Superintendence before merging with another entity.

10 Monetary and Financial Law, Law No.183-02.

11 Dominican Insurance and Bonds Law, Law No. 146-02.

12 Ruling for the Application of the Electricity General Law, Law No. 125-01.

13 Law of Social Security, Law No. 87-01.

X OUTLOOK

Significant modifications to the Labour Code and Civil Code are being discussed, which could affect certain aspects of M&A. However, it is not known when these modifications to the existing laws, and the enactment of new laws, will be approved by Congress, considering that some bills have been submitted for years.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

GEORGES SANTONI RECIO

Russin, Vecchi & Heredia Bonetti

Georges Santoni Recio is the managing partner of Russin, Vecchi & Heredia Bonetti. He has developed a strong practice in foreign investment law, international trade and related matters, providing specialised consulting services to private sector clients on corporate (M&A), foreign investment, tax, finance structuring, agreements and real estate law matters, and is also a practising litigator, specialising in general civil and commercial litigation. He has been internationally ranked by *Chambers and Partners* and *The Legal 500*.

LAURA FERNÁNDEZ-PEIX PÉREZ

Russin, Vecchi & Heredia Bonetti

Laura Fernández-Peix Pérez is a senior associate at Russin, Vecchi & Heredia Bonetti. She provides legal assistance and consulting to firms and investment projects in all matters relating to their legal needs, particularly in areas related to contracts, commercial and corporate law. She has represented several companies in corporate reorganisation processes, such as mergers, spin-offs, acquisitions, dissolutions, corporate restructuring, tax planning, in-kind contributions, transfers of shares, transfers of assets, and the incorporation and registration of non-profit organisations.

RUSSIN, VECCHI & HEREDIA BONETTI

Calle El Recodo, 2
Monte Mirador Building
Third Floor
Santo Domingo
Dominican Republic
Tel: +1 809 535 9511
Fax: +1 809 535 6649
gsantoni@rvhb.com
lfernandez@rvhb.com
info@rvhb.com
www.rvhb.com



ISBN 978-1-83862-050-9